Contra Costa County DECISION DOCUMENTATION for GROUND SQUIRREL MANAGEMENT on Critical Infrastructure

Date: 7/29/2013 (last revised on 9/5/19)

Department: Agriculture

Location: Countywide

Situation. Ground squiner management to protect childar initiastructure and numar nea	Situation:	Ground squirrel	management to	protect critical	infrastructure and	human healt
---	------------	-----------------	---------------	------------------	--------------------	-------------

What are the management goals for the sites?	Maintain a squirrel-free buffer area (generally 100 linear feet) around critical infrastructure (levees, earthen dams, canals, roadways, train berms, bridge abutments). Note that the size of the buffer area is site-specific.
Who has jurisdiction over the areas in question?	The Department is contracted by a number of entities to perform ground squirrel management on land under the jurisdiction of the following: CCC Public Works Department (including Flood Control), CC County Concord & Byron Airports, CC Water District, the U.S. Department of Interior Bureau of Reclamation, West County Wastewater Treatment Plant, Central Contra Costa Sanitary District, and Ironhouse Sanitation District. As a contractor, the Department is not always alerted to ground squirrel problems by the contracting agency in time to consider all control methods. Furthermore, budgets for these programs are set by the contracting agency and may preclude the Department from using some control methods.
How often are sites monitored?	Road and Flood Control crews are continually monitoring for ground squirrels throughout the year in order to alert the Agriculture Department to priority areas. These priority areas, along with sites where ground squirrels have been found historically, are monitored by Agriculture Department staff once annually prior to treatment between the months of June and October. This allows the Agriculture Department to determine where treatment is actually needed.
The problem species has been identified as the following:	Ground Squirrel (Spermophilus beechev) Burrowing by ground squirrels can be very destructive, and they can cause severe erosion and loss of structural integrity. Ground squirrels are a problem in levees, in flood control facilities and canals, in earthen dams, on roads, on railroad berms, around foundations and retaining walls, and in landscaping where they chew on irrigation lines. In addition, California ground squirrels are known to be carriers of many transmissible diseases, including bubonic plague and tularemia. WINTER SPRING SUMMER AUTUMN MAJOR ACTIVITY PERIODS adults reproduction juveniles MAJOR FOOD SOURCE green foliage seeds BEST TIME FOR CONTROL furnigation baiting trapping From Roger Baldwin's presentation entitled "Developing a management plan for burrowing rodents in organic production", February 2019.
What is the tolerance level for	Tolerance level : At the 2 County airports, FAA regulations require zero tolerance for grounds squirrels. For all other critical infrastructure, any activity within the desired buffer zone (generally 100 linear ft) may warrant
	Agriculture Department Decision Documentation

this species?	treatment. Ground squirrels within this area have the potential to cause damage by burrowing.]
	Burrows can destroy a levee system and can also create habitat for burrowing owls. When protected species are living in burrows on the levees, the Public Works Department cannot perform maintenance or other work on the levees. The Army Corps of Engineers regularly inspects Contra Costa levees. If the County does not manage ground squirrel burrowing on the levees, the Corps could view this as lack of due diligence on the part of the County and could decertify the levee system. Decertification of a flood control facility results in the denial of emergency funds to the County in the event of a serious flood. The County would have to provide all emergency management funds alone.	
	The Bureau of Reclamation inspects Contra Costa Water District canals and requires the District to manage squirrels whose burrowing can compromise the earthen canal embankments and create pathways for water leakage that can undermine the structural integrity of the canals.	
	Ground squirrel burrowing is the biggest threat to California levees. The burrow of one ground squirrel can be long enough to perforate a levee. Shorter burrows may be close enough to each other to perforate a levee. Many burrows in close proximity can create voids that are prone to collapse. High water can go into burrows and compromise the structure of the levee. Even one colony of ground squirrels can cause considerable damage. The longer a ground squirrel population inhabits a levee, the more likely the burrows are to be extended. Research has shown that burrows are shorter where squirrels are regularly controlled. Squirrel populations on levees that persist at high densities over time are more likely to make longer and more interconnected burrows.	
	This same burrowing and resulting pathways for water erosion can cause damage to or sudden failure of roadsides and other structures.	
Are these sensitive sites?	Is there known or potential habitat for any endangered or threatened species at any of the sites? See below.	Yes
	Are any areas part of the court-ordered injunctions? (see: https://www.epa.gov/endangered-species/interim-use- limitations-eleven-threatened-or-endangered-species-san-francisco-bay)	Yes
	a) The San Joaquin kit fox has not been sighted in Contra Costa County since the 1980s. The habitat quality is considered poor according to the State Department of Fish and Wildlife. Restrictions prohibit use of aluminum phosphide, chlorophacinone, diphacinone, gas cartridges (and several rodenticides not used by the Department) within 700' of known San Joaquin kit fox dens. The Endangered Species Act requires prebaiting and carcass survey in habitat areas.	
	b) Alameda whipsnake habitat is near some areas that are treated. Use of diphacinone and gas cartridges is prohibited within 100' of coastal sage and northern coastal sage flora in these areas.	
	c) California tiger salamander habitat is near some areas that are treated. Use of diphacinone and gas cartridges is prohibited within 200' of certain water features in these areas, as listed in the injunction.	
	 California red-legged frog habitat is near some treated areas. Use of gas cartridges is prohibited by the Endangered Species Act within 500' of certain water features in these areas. 	
	Are there other species to be aware of?	
	Burrowing owls live in abandoned ground squirrel burrows. These owls are predominantly, but not exclusively, in East County. In areas where burrowing owls are sighted, gas cartridges would only be used in ground squirrel inhabited burrows. Note that gas cartridges are rarely used by the Department because they must be used when the soil is moist and during that time, all Department staff are engaged in invasive weed control activities.	
	Are any of the sites in or near an area where people may walk or children may play?	Yes
	The area adjacent to the EBRPD's trail along Marsh Creek is posted before it is treated. Bait is applied away from the trail.	
	Are any of the sites near an above ground drinking water reservoir?	Yes
	Yes, the earthen dam sides (the sides away from the water) of Mallard reservoir and CC Water District canal embankments are treated.	
	Are any of the sites near a creek or flood control channel?	Yes
	If any of the above answers is yes, follow currently established legal and procedural guidelines appropriate to the sensitive sites. See also the general pest management decision tree.	
Control Methods	This is not an attempt to consider all control methods available. The following identifies the many types of controls that have been reviewed and/or used by the County. It is not an exhaustive list. For more information on controls see http://www.groundsquirrelbmp.com/	
	The County continues to investigate and review new control methods as they become available.	

Efficacy of Management Methods	Management Metho	d Efficacy Californ	ia Ground Squiri	rels			
moniouo		Time of Year	Efficacy	Cost	Labor	Restrictions	
	Fumigation	Mid-Jan to Mid-May ¹	HIGH	•	•	2	
	Toxic Baits	Mid-May to Mid-Oct	HIGH	•	•	• 7	
	Trapping	Mid-Jan to Mid-Oct	MODERATE	•	٠		
	Burrow modification	Year-round	MODERATE	•	٠	•	
	Shooting	Mid-Jan to Mid-Oct	MODERATE		۲		
	Repellents	Mid-Jan to Mid-Oct	LOW	•			
	Habitat modification	Year-round	LOW	•	۲	•	
	Biological control	Mid-Jan to Mid-Oct	LOW		•	•	
	Exclusion	Mid-Jan to Mid-Oct	LOW	•	•		
Which cultural controls were considered?	 * This table considers 'fu and carbon dioxide. Effic preferred application sea Chart is from UC Cooper cgs.html). Planting desirable spec probability of the occurre land side and the water s the sky and hence of rap CONCLUSIONS: Planting desirable spec Corps of Engineers doe implications for manag 	nt window may be longer if hill 'following substantial irrigation on which fumigant or bait is u migation' broadly, enc acy, cost, labor require son ('Time of Year') is ative Extension Groun cies: Research has inc nce of ground squirrel side of the levee. This tors that might prey or cies is not compatible es not allow trees on ement in the future.	ph soil moisture persis on. ompassing gas c ements, and use the same or very ad Squirrel BMPs dicated that tree c burrows on lever probably is the re on the squirrels.	ts, eartridges, alur restrictions m y similar for al (http://www.g cover and leaf es, and that th esult of tall wo am due to ex research men	ninum phosph ay vary among I these fumigat roundsquirrelb litter have a nu e effect was si ody vegetation pense. Also, a ntioned above	Moderate High ide, carbon mono ist these tactics, b ion tactics. mp.com/manager egative influence gnificant on both obscuring the vie at present, the A e may have	vide, but the ment- on the the ew of rmy
Which physical controls were considered?	Burrow modification: G to improve their burrows from 3 to 135 feet long at will reinfest the area and reinfestation of ground so <u>O₂/propane explosiv</u> applicator from flyin of getting the device "A burrow exploder concussive blast. It equipment, including and full body protec that feed the gases hand truck, ATV, or removal approach (some situations." (fr <u>Cement and Bentor</u>	vertical squirrels work r through multiple years and 2 to 4 feet deep. It i occupy the old burrow quirrels. <u>ve devices (burrow exp</u> g debris, and would da b to the burrows. uses the force from th is less effective than n g personal safety gear tive clothing), a fire ex- into the nozzle. Deper a vehicle to carry the around 30-35% succe om Ground Squirrel B <u>site Grout:</u> This mixture	and on their burn and generations has been observery vs. Modifying or of <u>oloder):</u> This methan amage levees, be nost baiting and f (hard hat, heavy tinguisher and sh nding on the size equipment. Initial ss rate), although MPs http://www.g	ows and do no s, creating con ed that when I lestroying burn hod is more do erms and emb seous mixture fumigation opt / gloves, safet novels (highly of the gas can I tests have no groundsquirre by the Californ	of readily give i nplex systems ourrows are ab rows can slow estructive, pos- ankments. The of propane an ions and also r y glasses, ear recommended histers that you ot indicated this f burrow syste lbmp.com/burr nia Departmen	nem up. They co that can be anyw andoned, new sq or prevent the es hazards to the ere is also the diffi d oxygen to creat equires a lot of plugs, ear protect , and 50-foot hos use, you may ne to be an effectiv ms may have utili owmod-cgs.html) t of Water Resour	iculty te a tors, seed a e ity in rces

materials and manpower costs over timeAn important unknown is the long-term performance and effects of grouting on seepage and stability of a levee. After decades of injecting grout into levees, the conditions of the embankments will surely change as the levee material is replaced by grout."
<u>The Burrow Blocker:</u> "The Burrow Blocker system is a relatively new product. The system pumps a slurry of water and sand into ground squirrel burrows. The water is then absorbed into the soil and leaves the sand in the burrow, filling those portions of the burrow system into which the slurry can flow by gravity, thus trapping the ground squirrels underground. Research is needed to determine the efficacy of this product." (from Ground Squirrel BMPs <u>http://www.groundsquirrelbmp.com/burrowmod-cgs.html</u>)
<u>Deep Ripping:</u> "Deep ripping can be used to substantially slow the reinvasion of California ground squirrels once they have already been controlled in an area. However, destroying the burrow entrances without effectively controlling the ground squirrel population by other management methods significantly reduces the effectiveness of deep ripping. This method is generally unsuitable in areas that have large rocks or boulders or in orchards, where burrows are adjacent to trees. Deep ripping should reach a depth of at least 20 inches, or more if possible. Studies have shown that destruction of burrows at a depth of 12 inches did not result in a reduction in colonization time. One to three ripping shanks mounted on the hydraulic implement bar of a tractor works well. Space shanks approximately 3 feet apart." (from Ground Squirrel BMPs http://www.groundsquirrelbmp.com/burrowmod-cgs.html)
In an unpublished study conducted at UC Davis, it was found that of various methods of preventing reinfestation, ripping the burrows to a depth of 18 inches was a relatively effective method for reducing reinvasion into old burrows.
Burrow modification by any method can kill any other species (including rare and endangered species such as the burrowing owl, San Joaquin kit fox, California red-legged frog, California tiger salamander and Alameda whipsnake) living in the burrows and/or will destroy potential habitat for them.
Shooting : Shooting controls squirrels in small numbers. Squirrels often come to recognize this activity and become gun shy. They may learn to retreat to their burrows any time a vehicle drives into the area or they hear a gunshot. There are safety concerns, and this is a time-intensive method.
Fencing : UC Extension's Ground Squirrel BMPs (http://www.groundsquirrelbmp.com/exclusion.html) states the following: "While fences can be constructed to exclude squirrels, they aren't usually practical because of their expense. Ground squirrels can readily dig beneath fences that are buried several feet deep in the soil. Sheet metal caps atop a 4-foot wire mesh fence will prevent them from climbing over. For a fence to remain squirrel-proof, the squirrels that burrow near the fence should be eliminated. Experiments with a temporary low electric fence have been shown to seasonally discourage California ground squirrels from invading research or small garden plots from outside areas."
Trapping California ground squirrels are considered nongame animals under the Fish and Game Code. A license is not required except if ground squirrels are being trapped for profit or for hire.
Live Trapping : Trapping can be done anytime squirrels are present. Most traps require the use of bait, which may be of limited effectiveness during certain times of the year. Bait must be at least as appetizing as what the squirrels are currently feeding on. Best overall results come from trapping squirrels just before they have their young, although trapping anytime squirrels are active can be effective. Trappers with SWAT Pest Control in Santa Clara County have found that July, August, and September are best for trapping ground squirrels. They find it very difficult to entice squirrels into traps in the spring because of the abundant green vegetation, which the squirrels prefer.
Live trapping requires a method of euthanization, since it is illegal to relocate trapped squirrels. Handling the traps prior to euthanization can expose staff to fleas and ticks living on the animals.
The Department's in-house trial of live trapping (see https://cchealth.org/ipm/program.php) showed this method to be very expensive and time consuming. California law mandates that traps be checked, and animals removed at least once a day, which was the protocol staff followed.
 Besides the high cost, The Department found a number of other problems with live trapping in the 2012 experimental study that the Department performed: Squirrels fought inside the traps and were bloodied and wounded by these encounters. Four squirrels were found dead in the traps probably from either fighting or heat stress. Anxious squirrels gnawed on the bars of the trap cutting their mouths. The traps consistently needed maintenance and modification in order to attract squirrels. At the end of the study, the traps had to be thoroughly cleaned because of the dried blood and powerful smell. Although signs were posted warning the public to leave traps alone, two traps were found with their tops open in what must have been an attempt by passersby to release the squirrels. This vandalism is worrisome not only because it impeded the trapping, but also because it exposed the public to bites, scratches, and zoonotic diseases. In addition, it is an indication that trapping would not be well-accepted by the public and would result in complaints. The week after the trapping trial, ground squirrels were back using the burrows in the buffer zone.

Costs: The 2012 study showed that the cost for the Department to live trap ground squirrels along one linear mile of roadway was \$5,074 compared to \$220 per linear mile for the current diphacinone treatment.

1	
	For comparison purposes, quotes were obtained from commercial pest control operators that could treat using non chemical live traps or other methods. The quotes ranged from \$90 to \$125/hr plus mileage for nonchemical ground squirrel control using live traps or other methods. At 139 hours per linear mile for the five days of trapping this would amount to \$12,524 to \$17,394 per linear mile plus mileage. The Department also received two quotes of \$20 and \$25/ground squirrel captured. These quotes on the per squirrel basis convert to a per linear mile rate of \$13,360 and \$16,700 respectively considering that the equivalent of approximately 668 squirrels were captured per linear mile in the trial.
	From UC Agriculture and Natural Resources Best Management Practices for Ground Squirrels: "Trapping is not the most effective method of control, mainly because of the high labor required to achieve good results. But it may be an ideal method to use when other methods are not appropriate."
	Kill trapping : As with live trapping, kill trapping can be done any time of year. Box and tunnel traps are baited to entice squirrels in, and Conibear traps are placed over the burrow entrance and the squirrel passes into the trap on exiting the burrow. Kill traps are very strong and can injure fingers and hands.
	CONCLUSIONS: Burrow modification: The Agriculture Department does not currently use deep ripping or burrow explosion because it is impractical in the areas the Department manages, such as next to roads and in levees and earthen dams. There is also the danger of killing or displacing rare and endangered species. Burrow destruction may damage the infrastructure the Department is trying to protect. If the area is preferred ground squirrel habitat, they would return and dig new burrow systems. The efficacy of the Burrow Blocker is untested. The County does not currently use cement bentonite grout to fill burrows.
	Shooting: The Department does not use this method. It is impractical on a cost basis and is not effective over large areas. There are also safety concerns.
	Live trapping: The Department does not currently use this method. Live trapping may be a viable option for small, especially sensitive sites that require treatment, but over large areas (in 2012, the Department surveyed 925 linear miles of critical infrastructure buffer area), the high cost of trapping makes the method prohibitive. Furthermore, the method was not found to be effective in the treatment area due to the rapid reinfestation of the burrows by ground squirrels from the surrounding area. This does not happen with baiting. There are also issues with theft and vandalism.
	Ventura County has stated that trapping would play a small role in their ground squirrel IPM plan because of the extensive labor required.
	Kill trapping: The Department does not use this method. With kill trapping, there is too much risk of capturing nontarget animals, and kill traps present a danger to children or adults who might tamper with traps. It would also be very costly, perhaps even more costly than live trapping since 1 live trap can capture numerous squirrels at a time.
Which biological controls were considered?	Biological controls available: There are a number of animals that prey on ground squirrels, including rattlesnakes, coyotes, bobcats, mountain lions, red-tail hawks, red-shoulder hawks, and golden eagles. According to UC Cooperative Extension's Ground Squirrel BMPs, "As ground squirrels and their native predators have evolved over hundreds of years, ground squirrels have developed behaviors and abilities to avoid predation. In certain habitats, ground squirrels are frequent prey of rattlesnakes, though some ground squirrels have evolved a resistance to snake venom. Owls are nocturnal and do not generally prey on diurnal ground squirrelsIn the majority of situations, predators are not able to control ground squirrel populations. Dogs may discourage ground squirrels from entering yards and other small areas, but they cannot control established squirrel populations."
Which biological controls were considered?	Biological controls available: There are a number of animals that prey on ground squirrels, including rattlesnakes, coyotes, bobcats, mountain lions, red-tail hawks, red-shoulder hawks, and golden eagles. According to UC Cooperative Extension's Ground Squirrel BMPs, "As ground squirrels and their native predators have evolved over hundreds of years, ground squirrels have developed behaviors and abilities to avoid predation. In certain habitats, ground squirrels are frequent prey of rattlesnakes, though some ground squirrels have evolved a resistance to snake venom. Owls are nocturnal and do not generally prey on diurnal ground squirrelsIn the majority of situations, predators are not able to control ground squirrel populations. Dogs may discourage ground squirrels from entering yards and other small areas, but they cannot control established squirrel populations." Staff monitored the raptor perches that the Department erected in 3 areas in 2009 until 2011 but did not find that they attracted the larger raptors that could feed on ground squirrels in the numbers that would be required for the degree of control necessary. Ground squirrels have constructed burrows at the base of some of the perches.
Which biological controls were considered?	Biological controls available: There are a number of animals that prey on ground squirrels, including rattlesnakes, coyotes, bobcats, mountain lions, red-tail hawks, red-shoulder hawks, and golden eagles. According to UC Cooperative Extension's Ground Squirrel BMPs, "As ground squirrels and their native predators have evolved over hundreds of years, ground squirrels have developed behaviors and abilities to avoid predation. In certain habitats, ground squirrels are frequent prey of rattlesnakes, though some ground squirrels have evolved a resistance to snake venom. Owls are nocturnal and do not generally prey on diurnal ground squirrelsIn the majority of situations, predators are not able to control ground squirrel populations. Dogs may discourage ground squirrels from entering yards and other small areas, but they cannot control established squirrel populations." Staff monitored the raptor perches that the Department erected in 3 areas in 2009 until 2011 but did not find that they attracted the larger raptors that could feed on ground squirrels in the numbers that would be required for the degree of control necessary. Ground squirrels have constructed burrows at the base of some of the perches.
Which biological controls were considered? Which chemical controls were considered?	 Biological controls available: There are a number of animals that prey on ground squirrels, including rattlesnakes, coyotes, bobcats, mountain lions, red-tail hawks, red-shoulder hawks, and golden eagles. According to UC Cooperative Extension's Ground Squirrel BMPs, "As ground squirrels and their native predators have evolved over hundreds of years, ground squirrels have developed behaviors and abilities to avoid predation. In certain habitats, ground squirrels are frequent prey of rattlesnakes, though some ground squirrels have evolved a resistance to snake venom. Owls are nocturnal and do not generally prey on diurnal ground squirrels have evolved a squirrels from entering yards and other small areas, but they cannot control established squirrel populations." Staff monitored the raptor perches that the Department erected in 3 areas in 2009 until 2011 but did not find that they attracted the larger raptors that could feed on ground squirrels in the numbers that would be required for the degree of control necessary. Ground squirrels have constructed burrows at the base of some of the perches. CONCLUSIONS: Predators can reduce the ground squirrel population, but they cannot be manipulated by humans to provide the degree of control necessary in the specific locations the Department is contracted to treat. Repellents: UC Extension's Ground Squirrel BMPs (http://www.groundsquirrelbmp.com/repellents.html) states the following: "There are no effective repellents available for California or Belding's ground squirrel control. Ground squirrels are not easily driven out from their burrow or home range area. When scared, they retreat to their burrows, but it is very unlikely that they will move to a new area all together. Thus, repellents and frightening are not effective methods for drawing are not effective methods for drawing area.
Which biological controls were considered? Which chemical controls were considered? For more information on pesticides listed	Biological controls available: There are a number of animals that prey on ground squirrels, including rattlesnakes, coyotes, bobcats, mountain lions, red-tail hawks, red-shoulder hawks, and golden eagles. According to UC Cooperative Extension's Ground Squirrel BMPs, "As ground squirrels and their native predators have evolved over hundreds of years, ground squirrels have developed behaviors and abilities to avoid predation. In certain habitats, ground squirrels are frequent prey of rattlesnakes, though some ground squirrels have evolved a resistance to snake venom. Owls are nocturnal and do not generally prey on diurnal ground squirrels have evolved a resistance to snake venom. Owls are not able to control ground squirrel populations. Dogs may discourage ground squirrels from entering yards and other small areas, but they cannot control established squirrel populations." Staff monitored the raptor perches that the Department erected in 3 areas in 2009 until 2011 but did not find that they attracted the larger raptors that could feed on ground squirrels in the numbers that would be required for the degree of control necessary. Ground squirrels have constructed burrows at the base of some of the perches. CONCLUSIONS: Predators can reduce the ground squirrel population, but they cannot be manipulated by humans to provide the degree of control necessary in the specific locations the Department is contracted to treat. Repellents: UC Extension's Ground Squirrel BMPs (http://www.groundsquirrelbmp.com/repellents.html) states the following: "There are no effective repellents available for California or Belding's ground squirrel control. Ground squirrels are not easily driven out from their burrow or home range area. When scared, they retreat to their burrows, but it is very unlikely that they will move to a new area all together. Thus, repellents and frightening are not effective methods for ground squirrel control."
Which biological controls were considered? Which chemical controls were considered? For more information on pesticides listed here visit the National Pesticide	 Biological controls available: There are a number of animals that prey on ground squirrels, including rattlesnakes, coyotes, bobcats, mountain lions, red-tail hawks, red-shoulder hawks, and golden eagles. According to UC Cooperative Extension's Ground Squirrels BMPs, "As ground squirrels and their native predators have evolved over hundreds of years, ground squirrels have developed behaviors and abilities to avoid predation. In certain habitats, ground squirrels are frequent prey of rattlesnakes, though some ground squirrels have evolved a resistance to snake venom. Owls are nocturnal and do not generally prey on diurnal ground squirrels In the majority of situations, predators are not able to control ground squirrel populations. Dogs may discourage ground squirrels from entering yards and other small areas, but they cannot control established squirrel populations." Staff monitored the raptor perches that the Department erected in 3 areas in 2009 until 2011 but did not find that they attracted the larger raptors that could feed on ground squirrels population, but they cannot be manipulated by humans to provide the degree of control necessary. Ground squirrels have constructed burrows at the base of some of the perches. CONCLUSIONS: Predators can reduce the ground squirrel population, but they cannot be manipulated by humans to provide the degree of control necessary in the specific locations the Department is contracted to treat. Repellents: UC Extension's Ground Squirrel BMPs (http://www.groundsquirrelbmp.com/repellents.html) states the following: "There are no effective repellents available for California or Belding's ground squirrel control. Ground squirrels are not easily driven out from their burrow or home range area. When scared, they retreat to their burrows, but it is very unlikely that they will move to a new area all together. Thus, repellents and frightening are not effective methods for ground squirrel control."
Which biological controls were considered? Which chemical controls were considered? For more information on pesticides listed here visit the National Pesticide Information Center (NPIC). This a joint project of Oregon State University and the US EPA.	 Biological controls available: There are a number of animals that prey on ground squirrels, including ratilesnakes, coyotes, bobcats, mountain lions, red-tail hawks, red-shoulder hawks, and golden eagles. According to UC Cooperative Extension's Ground Squirrels IMPS, "As ground squirrels and their native predators have evolved over hundreds of years, ground squirrels have developed behaviors and abilities to avoid predation. In certain habitats, ground squirrels are frequent prey of rattlesnakes, though some ground squirrels are worked as resistance to snake venom. Owls are nocturnal and do not generally prey on diurnal ground squirrelsIn the majority of situations, predators are not able to control ground squirrel populations. Dogs may discourage ground squirrels from entering yards and other small areas, but they cannot control established squirrel populations." Staff monitored the raptor perches that the Department erected in 3 areas in 2009 until 2011 but did not find that they attraced the larger raptors that could feed on ground squirrel population, but they cannot be required for the degree of control necessary. Ground squirrels have constructed burrows at the base of some of the perches. CONCLUSIONS: Predators can reduce the ground squirrel population, but they cannot be manipulated by humans to provide the degree of control necessary in the specific locations the Department is contracted to treat. Repellents: UC Extension's Ground Squirrel BMPs (http://www.groundsquirrelbmp.com/repellents.html) states the following: "There are no effective repellents available for California or Belding's ground squirrel to their burrows, but it is very unlikely that they will move to a new area all together. Thus, repellents and frightening are not effective methods for ground squirrel control." Burrow funiqation methods: Gas cartridge: The cartridge (made from sodium nitrate, charcoal,

You can communicate with an actual person at	phosphine gas. This fumigant is only effective when soil moisture is high and so has the same timing issues as above. Aluminum phosphide is a restricted use material and is a hazard to the applicator. There are also endangered species concerns and restrictions to consider prior to use.
1.800.858.7378 or npic@ace.orst.edu They are open from 8:00AM to 12:00PM Pacific Time, Mon- Fri.	CO and CO ₂ : These fumigants require a CO or CO ₂ generating device, which must be moved from burrow to burrow and site to site during treatment. These are most effective when soil moisture is high, and they have the same timing issues as gas cartridges and aluminum phosphide. Devices using CO, including the PERC machine, are in use and considered "highly effective" by other county and municipal programs in CA in parks and open spaces as well as along canals and flood-control channels and associated access roads (but not along roadsides). Devices using CO ₂ to kill ground squirrels are not yet registered through the California Department of Pesticide Regulation.
	Anticoagulant treated grain bait:
	Diphacinone treated grain bait : Diphacinone is applied to oat kernels that are rolled and dyed blue to make them less attractive to non-target species. Treated grain baits take advantage of the ground squirrel's highly developed seed foraging abilities.
	Diphacinone is a first generation anticoagulant that prevents blood from clotting and causes death by internal bleeding. First generation anticoagulants require multiple feedings over several days to a week to kill. This is different from second generation anticoagulants that are far more toxic and can kill within days of a single feeding if enough bait is ingested.
	Second generation anticoagulants pose a greater risk to animals that eat poisoned rodents. If the rodent continues to feed on the single-dose anticoagulant after it eats a toxic dose at the first meal, it may build up more than a lethal dose in its body before the clotting factors run out and the animal dies. Residues of second generation anticoagulants may remain in liver tissue for many weeks, so a predator that eats many poisoned rodents may build up a toxic dose over time. However, even the first generation anticoagulants may be poisonous to animals that eat poisoned rodents. The first generation materials break down much more rapidly in animal tissues and have a much reduced potential for secondary kill when compared to second generation materials. To mitigate for this, the Department performs carcass surveys in all areas treated whether or not it is required by endangered species restrictions.
	CONCLUSIONS: Gas cartridges: The department uses these in some instances, but the cost is high, there are endangered species restrictions to consider prior to use, and staff is generally engaged in other program critical activities in winter and spring when gas cartridges can be used effectively. The Department does use this method in certain instances in late winter/spring. Major considerations for use are sensitivity of the site and available staff time. Staff are specifically trained to distinguish the difference between active and inactive ground squirrel burrows. Due to concerns over burrowing owls, staff only treat active burrows and will not use gas cartridges in sensitive areas of other endangered species that may inhabit ground squirrel burrows.
	The Department does not use other fumigation methods because they have the same limitations as gas cartridges. Gas cartridges are much safer than aluminum phosphide. CO & CO ₂ devices are emerging technologies that may be impractical due to the difficulty in getting a CO or CO ₂ producing device to the burrows coupled with the difficulty in determining whether endangered species are present in a burrow.
	Diphacinone is the Department's material of choice. It is both effective and is labeled "Caution" which is the least toxic pesticide label category. In certain areas there are endangered species considerations/mitigations that staff follow.
Which application	Methods available:
methods are available for this	Bait Station —.005% diphacinone is registered for use in bait stations (and for broadcast baiting small areas by hand)
rodenticide?	Broadcast—.01% diphacinone is registered for hand or mechanical broadcast baiting over larger areas
	CONCLUSIONS:
	Bait Station: The Department does use this method in a very few specific situations. In general, though, there are several concerns with this method: bait can spill or be kicked out of bait stations; cattle can damage stations resulting in spillage; children or adults may tamper with bait stations; dominant ground squirrels may gorge on bait and prevent other squirrels from eating it. Individual ground squirrels consuming large quantities of bait increases the risk of higher exposure levels to non-target predators; much larger quantities of bait are used in bait stations as compared to broadcast treatment; rain damaged or moldy bait must be disposed of as hazardous waste.
	Broadcast: This is generally the method of choice.
	The Department's typical protocol for ground squirrel baiting is as follows:
	1. Ground squirrel work is conducted beginning in June, after forage grasses have dried, and extends to early October depending on when fall rains begin.

	2. On day 1, staff "prebait" by putting out untreated, clean rolled oats. This increases foraging activity so that treatment can be more highly focused, and the least amount of treated bait can be used.
	3. Approximately 2 days later, staff make the 1 st application of treated bait along a 12 to 15 ft. swath around/along the critical infrastructure to be protected. Applications are made only where ground squirrels are observed actively taking the "prebait."
	Bait is spread at the labeled rate, which equates to 2-3 treated kernels per square foot. The oat kernels have been rolled and dyed which makes them less attractive to non-target animals.
	Bait applications are made using a Hurd Spreader mounted on the back of a truck or an ATV. Some smaller applications are made by hand spreading the bait. Two staff members ride in the truck so that one person can focus on looking for squirrel activity and operating the spreader while the other drives.
	 About 2 days after the 1st bait application, staff broadcast the 2nd application of treated bait to the same 12 to15 ft. swath.
	5. Around 2 days after the 2 nd application, staff perform a survey of the treated areas to remove any squirrels that may die above ground. This reduces non-target exposure potential. In 2012, on 925 linear miles of roadway, staff found only 6 squirrel carcasses. In Ventura County's 2007 Field Trial using broadcast baiting, they found no above ground carcasses at any of their 3 test sites.
	6. Any heavily infested areas with continued squirrel activity are treated a 3 rd time
What factors were considered in choosing the pesticide application method?	Safety to the applicator, the environment, and nontarget species; endangered species considerations; the effectiveness of the method; and the cost to the Department.
What weather concerns must be	Fumigation methods : Dry weather and dry ground greatly decreases effectiveness. At the same time the potential of starting a wildfire from this method increases.
checked prior to application?	Dipacinone : The main concerns are rain or heavy dew that will render broadcast bait ineffective and can cause the bait in bait stations to mold.
Recommendations from the IPM	 Allocate additional funding and/or additional staff resources to the Department to support management during spring, when fumigants such as CO will be most effective.
Advisory	 Allocate funding for purchase of CO fumigation equipment and to develop associated operational protocols.
Committee	 Consider contracting for ground squirrel management services, including CO fumigation, during spring.
	Monitor ongoing studies involving raptor perches and grouting for ground squirrel control along levees.
	 Continue to review all ground squirrel management methods available for critical infrastructure considering efficacy, cost, impacts to the environment and the human community.
	 Encourage investigation into, and experimentation with, new methods
	Review this document every 3 years
References	Salmon, T. & P. Newman .Undated pamphlet. Bait and bait application methods for ground squirrel control: reducing non-target hazards. UC Coop Ext.
	Berentsen, AR & T. Salmon. 2001. The structure of California ground squirrel burrows: control implications. Transactions of the Western Section of the Wildlife Society, 37:66-70.
	Cobos Roa, D., M. Shriro, N.Sitar and J.D. Bray. 2014. California Levee Vegetation Research Program, Influence of Tree Roots and Mammal Burrowing Activity on Levee Integrity: Volume 4. – Field Evaluation of Burrowing Animal Impacts and Effectiveness of Remedial Measures, UC Berkeley, Geotechnical Engineering
	Van Vuren, DH & M. Ordenana. 2012. Burrow dimension of ground squirrels. California Levee Vegetation Research Program, UC Davis.
	Van Vuren, DH & M. Ordenana. 2011. Habitat associations of burrowing mammals along levees in the Sacramento Valley, CA. California Levee Vegetation Research Program, UC Davis.
	Marsh, RE. 1994. Current (1994) ground squirrel control practices in California. Proceedings of the Vertebrate Pest Conf. 16:61-65, UC Davis.
	Stone, WB, JC okoniewski, & JR Stelelin. 2003. Anticoagulant rodenticides and raptors: recent findings from New York, 1998-2001. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 70:34-40
	Rattner, BA, KE Horak, SE Warner, DD Day & JJ Johston. 2010. Comparative toxicity of diphacinone to northern bobwhite (<i>Colinus virginianus</i>) and American kestrels (<i>Falco sparverius</i>). Poceedings of the 24 th Vertebrate Pest Conf. pp 146-152, UC Davis.
	Riley, SPD, C Bromley, RH Poppenga, FA Uzal, L Whited, RM Sauvajot. 2007. Anticoagulant exposure and notoedric mange in bobcats and mountain lions in urban Southern California. J. of Wildlife Mgmt, 71(6):1874-1884.
	Lima, LL & T Salmon. 2010. Assessing some potential environmental impacts from agricultural anticoagulant uses. Proceedings of the 24 th Vertebrate Pest Conf. pp. 199-203, UC Davis.
	McMillin, S. (CA Dept. of Fish and Wildlife). 2013. Personal communication.
	UCANR Ground squirrel best management practices. <u>http://ucanr.edu/sites/Ground_Squirrel_BMP/</u> . Webpage accessed 5/31/13.
1	Pest Notes. 2010. Ground squirrel, Pub 7438. UC Statewide IPM Program, UC Davis.



Ground squirrel and burrow under Empire Mine Road near Antioch in eastern Contra Costa County



Ground squirrel burrows along Empire Mine Road near Antioch in eastern Contra Costa County