QUALITY OF CARE COMMITTEE MONTHLY MEETING MINUTES October 18th, 2018 – Final

	Agenda Item / Discussion	Action /Follow-Up
I.	Call to Order / Introductions	Complete Audio Recording available
	Committee Chair, Cmsr. Barbara Serwin, called the meeting to order @5:03pm	from Executive Assistant
	Members Present:	
	Chair- Cmsr. Barbara Serwin, District II	
	Cmsr. Gina Swirsding, District I	
	Cmsr. Leslie May, District V	
	Marshaus Absort	
	Members Absent:	
	Cmsr. Tasha Kamegai-Karadi, District IV	
	Other Attendees:	
	Cmsr. Sam Yoshioka, District IV	
	Dr. Jan Cobaleda-Kegler, Adult and Older Adult Program Chief	
	Warren Hayes, Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) Program Manager	
	Fatima Matal Sol, Alcohol and Other Drug Services Program Chief	
	Rochelle Bounville, Visitor	
	Marsha McInnis, Family Member	
	Margaret Netherby, Family Member	
	Teresa Pasquini, Family Member	
	Erika Raulston, Family Member	
	Lauren Rettagliata, Family Member	
	Sarah Kennard, Executive Assistant to the Mental Health Commission	
II.	PUBLIC COMMENTS- none	
III.	COMMISSIONERS COMMENTS	
•	G. Swirsding inquired on the proposed time change of the Quality of Care	
	Committee. B. Serwin (Chair) noted that the upcoming Executive Committee	
	would address all meeting times and dates.	
IV.	COMMITTEE CHAIR ANNOUNCEMENTS/COMMENTS- none	
V.	Approval of September 20th, 2018 minutes.	Agendas and minutes can be found at:
•	G. Swirsding moved to approve the minutes, seconded by L. May.	https://cchealth.org/mentalhealth/mh
•	Vote: 3-0-0	c/agendas-minutes.php
	Ayes: B. Serwin (Chair), L. May, G. Swirsding	
	Abstain: none Absent: T. Kamegai-Karadi	
VI.	DISCUSS the process of developing and monitoring a plan of action when	L. May moved to develop a process of
	findings for further attention are identified during Augmented Board and Care	coordinating facility visits and a
	Program and Fiscal Reviews- with Dr. Jan Cobaleda-Kegler, CCBHS Adult and	standardized outlet for submitting
	Older Adult Program Chief	client feedback and interviewing
•	B. Serwin (Chair) introduced the topic by noting past unresolved discussion. L.	clients. "Housing Continuum Plan of
	May reviewed the example report included with the agenda packet and	Action Tool" for Augmented Board
	expressed her concern in seeing violations noted in programs that continue to	and Care. Seconded by B. Serwin
	receive funding; she inquired on the length of time that a program can have	(Chair).
1	report of adverse findings before their funding status would be reviewed.	Vote: 3-0-0 Absent 1.
1	She encouraged further exploration into greater frequency of evaluation of each	
	facility.	
•	L. Rettagliata asked about the current staff support that reviews the Augmented	
	Board and Care; Dr. J. Cobaleda-Kegler stated that there are two staff that review	
0 11:	y of Caro Committee-10/18/18 Meeting Minutes	Page 1 of 2

- these facilities on a weekly basis. As a follow-up, L. Rettagliata asked what the requirements are within the Augmented Board and Care facilities to meet the adequacy standards of the Program and Fiscal Reviews.
- T. Pasquini opined that the Program and Fiscal Reviews were not conceptualized
 as being the final step in the facility review process. M. McInnis discussed her
 personal experiences as a family member navigating the Augmented Board and
 Care system and provided feedback of both positive and negative occurrences
 within the home. She noted that the Program and Fiscal Reviews increased
 proactivity within the staff. T. Pasquini and L. Rettagliata reiterated their desire
 for a standardized process.
- Dr. J. Cobaleda-Kegler stated that there is coordination with CCL (Community Care Licensing) during and following these Program and Fiscal Reviews to establish a correction plan and timeline of action. In these plans, remedial trainings can be recommended and referred, and failure to correct violations can result in either monetary fines or potential loss of licensure. Additionally, she noted that there is a mental health first aid training that is provided for facility staff participation which assists in developing skillsets. B. Serwin (Chair) inquired if the CCL correction plans and CCBHS plans are aligned and communicate. Dr. J. Cobaleda-Kegler stated that there are meetings between CCL and CCBHS Staff to track compliance and resolution. She noted that there are Augmented Board and Care Facilities that are not supported by MHSA and therefore do not undergo the Program and Fiscal Review Process, and are paid for using realignment funding.
- G. Swirsding discussed an encounter between an Augmented Board and Care and an individual that she was escorting and stated that the environment was not welcoming. Dr. J. Cobaleda-Kegler stated that in this position, her team has focused efforts on improving physical appearance, activities and service offered, and suitability for patients. She also added that many within the Augmented Board and Care client population also utilize the clinics for care and that providers inquire on the quality of care received during visits. S. Yoshioka inquired on the methodology for selecting the facility Program and Fiscal Review to include with the meeting packet; B. Serwin (Chair) noted that this particular review had been an item of discussion previously. G. Swirsding stated that for filing care grievances there are forms currently available at the clinics and inquired if a similar form and process was available within these Board and Care Facilities.
- T. Pasquini requested clarification on whether the Program and Fiscal Review included a question addressing the availability of a grievance reporting and resolution process; W. Hayes confirmed that there was.
- S. Yoshioka inquired on the number of Augmented Board and Care Facilities currently being observed. Dr. J. Cobaleda-Kegler stated that as of this year there are 25 facilities, seven of which are augmented by MHSA Funding. The other programs are funding using realignment funding.

- VII. Continue BRAINSTORMING Questions Regarding Behavioral Health Programs and Services, Psychiatric Emergency Services (PES) and 4C to Provide to the Ad-Hoc Data Committee- Barbara Serwin (Chair)
 - W. Hayes reviewed the quarterly report data concept and provided an updated model to review. He discussed that the intent of the product, once finalized, is to provide indicators on the requests for and utilization of services. He highlighted that there will be updated data to reflect trends between quarters of the fiscal year and will include indicators from different points in the care system (outreach, evaluation, admission, referral, review). B. Serwin (Chair) inquired if there would be a more substantial breakdown of each service or program within this report; W. Hayes stated that the report will be a macro level look at the services and programs, but that significant trends indicated could provide topics for detailed exploration within Committees.
 - L. Rettagliata required clarification of the terminology of 'underserved' populations as they are described within the Welfare and Institutions Code. W. Hayes discussed that underserved as described within the report draft in the context of PEI is when the population makeup is more significant than the proportion of those subpopulations being served by these programs. Alternatively, he noted that there is use of the term underserved to refer to traditionally underserved, marginalized populations
 - L. Rettagliata inquired on the source documentation being used in developing this
 product; W. Hayes stated that there are documents and products generated from
 each section within CCBHS and that there is not a clear way to align all of the
 programs.
 - T. Pasquini expressed that she would like to see a system of measurement that
 looks at those that are experiencing the most difficulty and how to create a
 means of assistance. W. Hayes brought up a recent discussion from a Justice
 Systems Committee Discussion and an upcoming agenda item that looks to
 explore a parallel data report in coordination with detention mental health.
- IX. Adjourned Meeting at 6:32pm